

Field: Social Processes in Political Communication

Social Identity:

1. Bernstein, M. (2005). Identity politics. *Annu. Rev. Sociol.*, 31, 47-74.
2. Condor, S. (2000). Pride and prejudice: Identity management in English people's talk about this country. *Discourse & Society*, 11(2), 175-205.
3. Ellemers, N., Spears, R., & Doosje, B. (2002). Self and social identity. *Annual review of psychology*, 53(1), 161-186.
4. Gündüz, U. (2017). The effect of social media on identity construction. *Mediterranean journal of social sciences*, 8(5), 85.
5. Huddy, L. (2001). From social to political identity: A critical examination of social identity theory. *Political psychology*, 22(1), 127-156.
6. Hornsey, M. J. (2008). Social identity theory and self-categorization theory: A historical review. *Social and personality psychology compass*, 2(1), 204-222.
7. Kunda, Z. (1990). The case for motivated reasoning. *Psychological bulletin*, 108(3), 480.
8. Kreiss, D. (2019). The fragmenting of the civil sphere: How partisan identity shapes the moral evaluation of candidates and epistemology. *Politics of meaning/meaning of politics: Cultural sociology of the 2016 US presidential election*, 223-241.
9. Stets, J. E., & Burke, P. J. (2000). Identity theory and social identity theory. *Social psychology quarterly*, 224-237.
10. Tajfel, H., & Turner, J. C. (2004). The social identity theory of intergroup behavior. In *Political psychology* (pp. 276-293). Psychology Press.

Symbolic Interactionism:

1. Blumer, H. (1980). Mead and Blumer: The convergent methodological perspectives of social behaviorism and symbolic interactionism. *American Sociological Review*, 409-419.
2. Collins, R. (2004). Rituals of solidarity and security in the wake of terrorist attack. *Sociological theory*, 22(1), 53-87.
3. Collins, R. (2013). Time-bubbles of nationalism: dynamics of solidarity ritual in lived time. In *The Cultural Politics of Nationalism and Nation-Building* (pp. 53-67). Routledge.

4. Collins, R. (2022). *Interaction ritual chains*. New York and Oxford.
5. Dennis, A., & Martin, P. J. (2005). Symbolic interactionism and the concept of power. *The British journal of sociology*, 56(2), 191-213.
6. Durkheim, E. (2016). The elementary forms of religious life. In *Social theory re-wired* (pp. 52-67). Routledge.
7. Pattillo-McCoy, M. (1998). Church culture as a strategy of action in the black community. *American Sociological Review*, 767-784.
8. Stryker, S. (2008). From Mead to a structural symbolic interactionism and beyond. *Annu. Rev. Sociol.*, 34, 15-31.
9. Surak, K. (2011). From selling tea to selling Japaneseness: symbolic power and the nationalization of cultural practices. *European Journal of Sociology/Archives Européennes de Sociologie*, 52(2), 175-208.

Political Communication:

1. Bennett, W. L., & Iyengar, S. (2008). A new era of minimal effects? The changing foundations of political communication. *Journal of communication*, 58(4), 707-731.
2. Bond, R. M., Fariss, C. J., Jones, J. J., Kramer, A. D., Marlow, C., Settle, J. E., & Fowler, J. H. (2012). A 61-million-person experiment in social influence and political mobilization. *Nature*, 489(7415), 295-298.
3. Brady, W. J., Crockett, M. J., & Van Bavel, J. J. (2020). The MAD model of moral contagion: The role of motivation, attention, and design in the spread of moralized content online. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 15(4), 978-1010.
4. Druckman, J. N., Levendusky, M. S., & McLain, A. (2018). No need to watch: How the effects of partisan media can spread via interpersonal discussions. *American Journal of Political Science*, 62(1), 99-112.
5. King, G., Schneer, B., & White, A. (2017). How the news media activate public expression and influence national agendas. *Science*, 358(6364), 776-780.
6. Rathje, S., Van Bavel, J. J., & Van Der Linden, S. (2021). Out-group animosity drives engagement on social media. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 118(26), e2024292118.
7. Shifman, L. (2013). *Memes in digital culture*. MIT press.

8. Schmidt, A. L., Zollo, F., Del Vicario, M., Bessi, A., Scala, A., Caldarelli, G., ... & Quattrociocchi, W. (2017). Anatomy of news consumption on Facebook. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114(12), 3035-3039.
9. Sharot, T., & Sunstein, C. R. (2020). How people decide what they want to know. *Nature Human Behaviour*, 4(1), 14-19.

Nationalism and Populism:

1. Anderson, B. (2020). Imagined communities: Reflections on the origin and spread of nationalism. In *The new social theory reader* (pp. 282-288). Routledge.
2. Billig, Michael. (1995). *Banal nationalism*. London ; Thousand Oaks, Calif. :Sage,
3. Brubaker, R., & Laitin, D. D. (1998). Ethnic and nationalist violence. *Annual Review of sociology*, 24(1), 423-452.
4. Brubaker, R. (2004). In the name of the nation: Reflections on nationalism and patriotism. *Citizenship studies*, 8(2), 115-127.
5. Bonikowski, B. (2016). Nationalism in settled times. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 42, 427-449.
6. Bonikowski, B. (2016). Three lessons of contemporary populism in Europe and the United States. *The Brown Journal of World Affairs*, 23(1), 9-24.
7. Brubaker, R. (2017). Why populism?. *Theory and society*, 46, 357-385.
8. Calhoun, C. (1993). Nationalism and ethnicity. *Annual review of sociology*, 19(1), 211-239.
9. Fox, J. E. (2006). Consuming the nation: Holidays, sports, and the production of collective belonging. *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, 29(2), 217-236.
10. Gidron, N., & Bonikowski, B. (2013). Varieties of populism: Literature review and research agenda.
11. Gusterson, H. (2017). From Brexit to Trump: Anthropology and the rise of nationalist populism. *American ethnologist*, 44(2), 209-214.
12. Haas, E. B. (1986). What is nationalism and why should we study it?. *International organization*, 40(3), 707-744.
13. Hall, J. A. (1993). Nationalisms: classified and explained. *DAEDALUS-BOSTON MASS-*, 122, 1-1.
14. Lin, J. C. (2024). The rising China is not a 'sick man' anymore: Cultural nationalism in the

Xi Jinping era. *Journal of Contemporary China*, 33(145), 83-100.

15. Swank, D., & Betz, H. G. (2003). Globalization, the welfare state and right-wing populism in Western Europe. *Socio-Economic Review*, 1(2), 215-245.
16. Skitka, L. J. (2005). Patriotism or nationalism? Understanding post-September 11, 2001, flag-display behavior 1. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 35(10), 1995-2011.
17. Wimmer, A., & Feinstein, Y. (2010). The Rise of the Nation-State across the World, 1816 to 2001. *American Sociological Review*, 75(5), 764-790.
18. Wang, J. (2017). Representing Chinese nationalism/patriotism through president Xi Jinping's "Chinese dream" discourse. *Journal of Language and Politics*, 16(6), 830-848.
19. Woods, E. T., Schertzer, R., Greenfeld, L., Hughes, C., & Miller-Idriss, C. (2020). COVID-19, nationalism, and the politics of crisis: A scholarly exchange. *Nations and Nationalism*, 26(4), 807-825.
20. Wright, M. (2011). Diversity and the imagined community: Immigrant diversity and conceptions of national identity. *Political Psychology*, 32(5), 837-862.

Political Polarization:

1. Bail, C. A., Argyle, L. P., Brown, T. W., Bumpus, J. P., Chen, H., Hunzaker, M. F., ... & Volfovsky, A. (2018). Exposure to opposing views on social media can increase political polarization. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 115(37), 9216-9221.
2. Druckman, J. N., Klar, S., Krupnikov, Y., Levendusky, M., & Ryan, J. B. (2021). Affective polarization, local contexts and public opinion in America. *Nature human behaviour*, 5(1), 28-38.
3. Finkel, E. J., Bail, C. A., Cikara, M., Ditto, P. H., Iyengar, S., Klar, S., ... & Druckman, J. N. (2020). Political sectarianism in America. *Science*, 370(6516), 533-536.
4. Guess, A. M. (2021). (Almost) Everything in Moderation: New Evidence on Americans' Online Media Diets. *American Journal of Political Science*, 65(4), 1007-1022.
5. Prior, M. (2005). News vs. entertainment: How increasing media choice widens gaps in political knowledge and turnout. *American Journal of Political Science*, 49(3), 577-592.
6. Prior, M. (2013). Media and political polarization. *Annual Review of Political Science*, 16, 101-127.
7. Stroud, N. J. (2010). Polarization and partisan selective exposure. *Journal of*

communication, 60(3), 556-576.

8. Vasconcelos, V. V., Constantino, S. M., Dannenberg, A., Lumkowsky, M., Weber, E., & Levin, S. (2021). Segregation and clustering of preferences erode socially beneficial coordination. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 118(50), e2102153118.

Filter Bubbles and Echo Chamber:

1. Cinelli, M., De Francisci Morales, G., Galeazzi, A., Quattrociocchi, W., & Starnini, M. (2021). The echo chamber effect on social media. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 118(9), e2023301118.
2. Dubois, E., & Blank, G. (2018). The echo chamber is overstated: the moderating effect of political interest and diverse media. *Information, communication & society*, 21(5), 729-745.
3. Ecker, U. K., Lewandowsky, S., Fenton, O., & Martin, K. (2014). Do people keep believing because they want to? Preexisting attitudes and the continued influence of misinformation. *Memory & cognition*, 42, 292-304.
4. Flaxman, S., Goel, S., & Rao, J. M. (2016). Filter bubbles, echo chambers, and online news consumption. *Public opinion quarterly*, 80(S1), 298-320.
5. Fletcher, R., Kalogeropoulos, A., & Nielsen, R. K. (2023). More diverse, more politically varied: How social media, search engines and aggregators shape news repertoires in the United Kingdom. *New Media & Society*, 25(8), 2118-2139.
6. Karell, D., Linke, A., Holland, E., & Hendrickson, E. (2023). "Born for a Storm": Hard-Right Social Media and Civil Unrest. *American Sociological Review*, 88(2), 322-349.
7. Rhodes, S. C. (2022). Filter bubbles, echo chambers, and fake news: how social media conditions individuals to be less critical of political misinformation. *Political Communication*, 39(1), 1-22.
8. Thorson, E. (2016). Belief echoes: The persistent effects of corrected misinformation. *Political Communication*, 33(3), 460-480.

Misinformation and Fake News:

1. Allen, J., Howland, B., Mobius, M., Rothschild, D., & Watts, D. J. (2020). Evaluating the fake news problem at the scale of the information ecosystem. *Science advances*, 6(14), eaay3539.

2. Baptista, J. P., & Gradim, A. (2020). Understanding fake news consumption: A review. *Social Sciences*, 9(10), 185.
3. Chen, S., Xiao, L., & Kumar, A. (2023). Spread of misinformation on social media: What contributes to it and how to combat it. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 141, 107643.
4. Del Vicario, M., Bessi, A., Zollo, F., Petroni, F., Scala, A., Caldarelli, G., ... & Quattrociocchi, W. (2016). The spreading of misinformation online. *Proceedings of the national academy of Sciences*, 113(3), 554-559.
5. Ecker, U. K., Lewandowsky, S., Cook, J., Schmid, P., Fazio, L. K., Brashier, N., ... & Amazeen, M. A. (2022). The psychological drivers of misinformation belief and its resistance to correction. *Nature Reviews Psychology*, 1(1), 13-29.
6. Jerit, J., & Zhao, Y. (2020). Political misinformation. *Annual Review of Political Science*, 23, 77-94.
7. Mejia, R., Beckermann, K., & Sullivan, C. (2018). White lies: A racial history of the (post) truth. *Communication and Critical/Cultural Studies*, 15(2), 109-126.
8. Pennycook, G., Epstein, Z., Mosleh, M., Arechar, A. A., Eckles, D., & Rand, D. G. (2021). Shifting attention to accuracy can reduce misinformation online. *Nature*, 592(7855), 590-595.
9. Tsfaty, Y., Boomgaarden, H. G., Strömbäck, J., Vliegenthart, R., Damstra, A., & Lindgren, E. (2020). Causes and consequences of mainstream media dissemination of fake news: literature review and synthesis. *Annals of the International Communication Association*, 44(2), 157-173.
10. Vosoughi, S., Roy, D., & Aral, S. (2018). The spread of true and false news online. *science*, 359(6380), 1146-1151.

Censorship and Information Manipulation:

1. Chen, J., & Xu, Y. (2017). Information manipulation and reform in authoritarian regimes. *Political Science Research and Methods*, 5(1), 163-178.
2. Chen, Y., & Yang, D. Y. (2019). The impact of media censorship: 1984 or brave new world?. *American Economic Review*, 109(6), 2294-2332.
3. Guriev, S., & Treisman, D. (2019). Informational autocrats. *Journal of economic perspectives*, 33(4), 100-127.
4. Gohdes, A. R. (2020). Repression technology: Internet accessibility and state

- violence. *American Journal of Political Science*, 64(3), 488-503.
5. King, G., Pan, J., & Roberts, M. E. (2013). How censorship in China allows government criticism but silences collective expression. *American political science Review*, 107(2), 326-343.
 6. King, G., Pan, J., & Roberts, M. E. (2017). How the Chinese government fabricates social media posts for strategic distraction, not engaged argument. *American political science review*, 111(3), 484-501.
 7. Lorentzen, P. (2014). China's strategic censorship. *American Journal of political science*, 58(2), 402-414.
 8. Repnikova, M., & Fang, K. (2019). Digital media experiments in China: "Revolutionizing" persuasion under Xi Jinping. *The China Quarterly*, 239, 679-701.
 9. Roberts, M. E. (2020). Resilience to online censorship. *Annual Review of Political Science*, 23, 401-419.
 10. Yang, T., & Peng, Y. (2022). The importance of trending topics in the gatekeeping of social media news engagement: A natural experiment on Weibo. *Communication Research*, 49(7), 994-1015.

Metaphor and Dog-Whistle Politics:

1. Albertson, B. L. (2015). Dog-whistle politics: Multivocal communication and religious appeals. *Political Behavior*, 37, 3-26.
2. Bonikowski, B., & Zhang, Y. (2023). Populism as Dog-Whistle Politics: Anti-Elite Discourse and Sentiments Toward Minority Groups. *Social Forces*, soac147.
3. Drakulich, K., Wozniak, K. H., Hagan, J., & Johnson, D. (2020). Race and policing in the 2016 presidential election: Black lives matter, the police, and dog whistle politics. *Criminology*, 58(2), 370-402.
4. Mio, J. S. (2018). Metaphor, politics, and persuasion. In *Metaphor* (pp. 127-146). Psychology Press.
5. Rai, S., & Chakraverty, S. (2020). A survey on computational metaphor processing. *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)*, 53(2), 1-37.
6. White, I. K. (2007). When race matters and when it doesn't: Racial group differences in response to racial cues. *American Political Science Review*, 101(2), 339-354.

7. Wetts, R., & Willer, R. (2019). Who is called by the dog whistle? Experimental evidence that racial resentment and political ideology condition responses to racially encoded messages. *Socius*, 5, 2378023119866268.
8. Weimann, G., & Am, A. B. (2020). Digital dog whistles: The new online language of extremism. *International Journal of Security Studies*, 2(1), 4.

ML, LLM, and GLLM Tools in Social Science:

1. Argyle, L. P., Busby, E. C., Fulda, N., Gubler, J. R., Rytting, C., & Wingate, D. (2023). Out of one, many: Using language models to simulate human samples. *Political Analysis*, 31(3), 337-351.
2. Bonikowski, B., & Gidron, N. (2016). The populist style in American politics: Presidential campaign discourse, 1952–1996. *Social Forces*, 94(4), 1593-1621.
3. Beauchamp, N. (2017). Predicting and interpolating state-level polls using Twitter textual data. *American Journal of Political Science*, 61(2), 490-503.
4. Bonikowski, B., Luo, Y., & Stuhler, O. (2022). Politics as Usual? Measuring Populism, Nationalism, and Authoritarianism in US Presidential Campaigns (1952–2020) with Neural Language Models. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 51(4), 1721-1787.
5. Bail, C. A. (2024). Can Generative AI improve social science?. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 121(21), e2314021121.
6. DiMaggio, P. (2015). Adapting computational text analysis to social science (and vice versa). *Big Data & Society*, 2(2), 2053951715602908.
7. Edelman, A., Wolff, T., Montagne, D., & Bail, C. A. (2020). Computational social science and sociology. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 46, 61-81.
8. Gilardi, F., Alizadeh, M., & Kubli, M. (2023). ChatGPT outperforms crowd workers for text-annotation tasks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 120(30), e2305016120.
9. Hofman, J. M., Watts, D. J., Athey, S., Garip, F., Griffiths, T. L., Kleinberg, J., ... & Yarkoni, T. (2021). Integrating explanation and prediction in computational social science. *Nature*, 595(7866), 181-188.
10. Liang, H., Ng, Y. M. M., & Tsang, N. L. (2023). Word embedding enrichment for dictionary construction: An example of incivility in Cantonese. *Computational Communication Research*, 5(1), 1.

11. Jensen, J. L., Karell, D., Tanigawa-Lau, C., Habash, N., Oudah, M., & Fairus Shofia Fani, D. (2022). Language models in sociological research: an application to classifying large administrative data and measuring religiosity. *Sociological Methodology*, 52(1), 30-52.
12. Kheiri, K., & Karimi, H. (2023). Sentimentgpt: Exploiting gpt for advanced sentiment analysis and its departure from current machine learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.10234*.
13. Khurana, D., Koli, A., Khatter, K., & Singh, S. (2023). Natural language processing: State of the art, current trends and challenges. *Multimedia tools and applications*, 82(3), 3713-3744.
14. Lazer, D. M., Pentland, A., Watts, D. J., Aral, S., Athey, S., Contractor, N., ... & Wagner, C. (2020). Computational social science: Obstacles and opportunities. *Science*, 369(6507), 1060-1062.
15. Lemmens, J., Markov, I., & Daelemans, W. (2021, June). Improving hate speech type and target detection with hateful metaphor features. In *Proceedings of the fourth workshop on NLP for internet freedom: censorship, disinformation, and propaganda* (pp. 7-16).
16. Le Mens, G., Kovács, B., Hannan, M. T., & Pros, G. (2023). Uncovering the semantics of concepts using GPT-4. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 120(49), e2309350120.
17. Lichtenstein, M., & Rucks-Ahidiana, Z. (2023). Contextual text coding: A mixed-methods approach for large-scale textual data. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 52(2), 606-641.
18. Rheault, L., & Cochrane, C. (2020). Word embeddings for the analysis of ideological placement in parliamentary corpora. *Political Analysis*, 28(1), 112-133.
19. Rathje, S., Mirea, D. M., Sucholutsky, I., Marjeh, R., Robertson, C., & Van Bavel, J. J. (2023). GPT is an effective tool for multilingual psychological text analysis.
20. Wang, J., Fan, Y., Palacios, J., Chai, Y., Guetta-Jeanrenaud, N., Obradovich, N., ... & Zheng, S. (2022). Global evidence of expressed sentiment alterations during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Nature Human Behaviour*, 6(3), 349-358.
21. Zhou, D. (2022). The elements of cultural power: novelty, emotion, status, and cultural capital. *American Sociological Review*, 87(5), 750-781.