

Field: Social Networks

Part 1. Theory: Structuralism in Sociology

1. Blau, P. M. (1977). A Macrosociological Theory of Social Structure. *American Journal of Sociology*, 83(1), 26-54.
2. Breiger, R. L. (1974). The duality of persons and groups. *Social Forces*, 53(2), 181-190.
3. Emirbayer, M., & Goodwin, J. (1994). "Network analysis, culture, and the problem of agency." *American Journal of Sociology*, 99(6), 1411-1454.
4. Emirbayer, Mustafa. 1997. "Manifesto for a Relational Sociology." *American Journal of Sociology* 103(2):281-317.
5. Erickson, Bonnie H. 1988. "The Relational Basis of Attitudes." Pp. 443-47 in *Social Structures: A Network Approach*, edited by B. Wellman, and S. D. Berkowitz. New York: Cambridge University Press
6. Erikson, E. (2013). Formalist and relationalist theory in social network analysis. *Sociological Theory*, 31(3), 219-242.
7. Fuhse, J. A. (2020). Theories of Social Networks. In Light, R., & Moody, J. (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Social Networks* (pp. 34-49). Oxford University Press.
8. Granovetter, Mark. 1985. "Economic Action and Social Structure: The Problem of Embeddedness." *American Journal of Sociology* 91:481-510.
9. Mische, A. (2011). Relational sociology, culture, and agency. In Scott, J., & Carrington, P. J. (Eds.), *The Sage Handbook of Social Network Analysis* (pp. 80-97). SAGE publications.
10. Mohr, John W., and Harrison C. White. 2008 "How to Model an Institution." *Theory and Society* 37:485-512.
11. Sewell, William Jr. (1992.) *A Theory of Structure: Duality, Agency, and Transformation*. *American Journal of Sociology* 98, 1:1-29.

Part 2. Social Capital

1. Burt, R. S. (2004). Structural Holes and Good Ideas. *American Journal of Sociology*, 110(2), 349-399.
2. Burt, R. S., Bian, Y., & Opper, S. (2018). More or less guanxi: Trust is 60% network context, 10% individual difference. *Social Networks*, 54, 12-25.
3. Coleman, J. S. (1988). Social capital in the creation of human capital. *American Journal of Sociology*, 94, S95-S120.
4. DiMaggio, Paul, & Garip, Feliz. (2012). Network effects and social inequality. *Annual review of sociology*, 38, 93-118.
5. Fernandez, R. M., Castilla, E. J., & Moore, P. (2000). Social capital at work: Networks and employment at a phone center. *American Journal of Sociology*, 105(5), 1288-1356.
6. Lin, N. (1999). Building a network theory of social capital. *Connections*, 22(1), 28-51.

7. McDonald, S. (2011). What's in the "old boys" network? Accessing social capital in gendered and racialized networks. *Social Networks*, 33(4), 317–330.
8. Mcpherson, M., Smith-lovin, L., & Brashears, M. E. (2005). Social Isolation in America: Changes in Core Discussion Networks over Two Decades. *American Sociological Review*, 71, 353–375.
9. Putnam, R. D. (1995). Bowling Alone: America's Declining Social Capital. *Journal of Democracy*, 6, 65-78.
10. Smith, S. S. (2005). "Don't put my name on it": Social capital activation and job-finding assistance among the black urban poor. *American Journal of Sociology*, 111(1), 1-57.

Part 3. Positions and Centrality

1. Agneessens, F., Borgatti, S. P., & Everett, M. G. (2017). Geodesic based centrality: Unifying the local and the global. *Social Networks*, 49, 12-26.
2. Bonacich, P., & Lloyd, P. (2001). Eigenvector-like measures of centrality for asymmetric relations. *Social Networks*, 23(3), 191-201.
3. Bonacich, Phillip. 1987. "Power and Centrality: A Family of Measures (Links to an external site.)" *American Journal of Sociology* 92:1170-118.
4. Borgatti, S. P. (2003, October). Identifying sets of key players in a network. In *IEMC'03 Proceedings. Managing Technologically Driven Organizations: The Human Side of Innovation and Change* (IEEE Cat. No. 03CH37502) (pp. 127-131). IEEE.
5. Borgatti, S. P. (2005). Centrality and network flow. *Social Networks*, 27(1), 55-71.
6. Borgatti, S. P., & Everett, M. G. (2006). A graph-theoretic perspective on centrality. *Social Networks*, 28(4), 466-484.
7. Borgatti, S. P., & Everett, M. G. (2020). Three perspectives on centrality. In Light, R., & Moody, J. (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Social Networks* (pp. 334-351). Oxford University Press.
8. Christakis, N. A., & Fowler, J. H. (2010). Social network sensors for early detection of contagious outbreaks. *PLoS ONE*, 5(9), e12948.
9. Everett, M. G., & Borgatti, S. P. (2010). Induced, endogenous and exogenous centrality. *Social Networks*, 32(4), 339-344.
10. Faris, R., & Felmlee, D. (2011). Status struggles: Network centrality and gender segregation in same-and cross-gender aggression. *American Sociological Review*, 76(1), 48-73.
11. Feld, Scott. 1991. "Why Your Friends Have More Friends Than You Do." *American Journal of Sociology* 96:1464-77.
12. Freeman, Linton. (1978). "Centrality in social networks: conceptual clarification." *Social Networks*, 1:215-239.
13. Rossman, G., Esparza, N., & Bonacich, P. (2010). I'd like to thank the Academy, team spillovers, and network centrality. *American Sociological Review*, 75(1), 31-51.

Part 4. Organizational Network and Markets

1. Baker, Wayne E. 1984. "The Social Structure of a National Securities Market." *American Journal of Sociology*. 89 (4): 775-811.

2. Beckfield, Jason. 2010. "The Social Structure of the World Polity" *American Journal of Sociology*. 115:1018-1068.
3. Cattani, Gino, and Simone Ferriani. 2008. "A Core/Periphery Perspective on Individual Creative Performance: Social Networks and Cinematic Achievements in the Hollywood Film Industry." *Organization Science* 19(6):824-844.
4. Foster, Pacey, Stephen P. Borgatti, and Candace Jones. 2011. "Gatekeeper Search and Selection Strategies: Relational and Network Governance in a Cultural Market." *Poetics* 39:247-265.
5. Galaskiewicz, J. and S. Wasserman. 1989. "Mimetic Processes within an Interorganizational Field – An Empirical Test." *Administrative Science Quarterly*. 34 (3): 454-479.
6. Godart, Frédéric C., and Ashley Mears. 2009. "How do Cultural Producers Make Creative Decisions? Lessons from the Catwalk." *Social Forces* 88(2):671-692.
7. Goldberg, Amir, Sameer B. Srivastava, V. Govind Manian, William Monroe, and Christopher Potts. "Fitting in or standing out? The tradeoffs of structural and cultural embeddedness." *American Sociological Review* 81, no. 6 (2016): 1190-1222.
8. Padgett, John, and Christopher K. Ansell. 1993. "Robust Action and the Rise of the Medici, 1400-1434." *American Journal of Sociology* 98:1259-1319.
9. Podolny, Joel M. 2001. "Networks as the Pipes and Prisms of the Market." *American Journal of Sociology* 107:33-60
10. Podolny, Joel M., and James N. Baron. 1997. "Resources and Relationships: Social Networks and Mobility in the Workplace." *American Sociological Review* 62:673-693.
11. Powell, Walter and Laurel Smith-Doerr. 1994. "Networks and Economic Life." Pp. 368-402 in *The Handbook of Economic Sociology*, edited by Neil Smelser and Richard Swedberg. New York: Russell Sage.
12. Powell, Walter M. 1990. "Neither Market Nor Hierarchy: Network Forms of Organization." *Research in Organizational Behavior* 12:295-336.
13. Shi, Yongren, Fedor A. Dokshin, Michael Genkin, and Matthew E. Brashears. 2017. "A Member Saved Is a Member Earned? The Recruitment-Retention Trade-Off and Org
14. Smith, Chris M. 2020. "Exogenous Shocks, the Criminal Elite, and Increasing Gender Inequality in Chicago Organized Crime." *American Sociological Review* 85(5):895-923.
15. Uzzi, Brian 1996. "Sources and Consequences of Embeddedness for the Economic Performance of Organizations: The Network Effect." *American Sociological Review* 16(4):674-98.
16. Uzzi, Brian. 1996. "The Sources and Consequences of Embeddedness for the Economic Performance of Organizations: The Network Effect." *American Journal of Sociology* 61:674-698. Books
17. White, Harrison. 1981. "Where do Markets Come From?" *American Journal of Sociology*. 87(3): 517-547.

Part 5. Weak Ties and Small World

Weak ties

1. Albert, Reka, Hawoong Jeong, and Albert-Laszlo Barabasi. 2000. "Error and Attack Tolerance of Complex Networks." *Nature* 406(6794):378–82. doi: 10.1038/35019019.

2. Aral, S., & Van Alstyne, M. (2013). The Diversity-Bandwidth Trade-off. *American Journal of Sociology*, 117(1), 90–171.
3. Bakshy, E., Rosenn, I., Marlow, C., & Adamic, L. (2012). The Role of Social Networks in Information Diffusion. *Proceedings of the 21st International Conference on World Wide Web*, 1201.4145, 519–528.
4. Barabási, Albert-László, and Réka Albert. 1999. “Emergence of Scaling in Random Networks.” *Science* 286(5439):509–12. doi: 10.1126/science.286.5439.509.
5. Bian, Y. (1997). Bringing strong ties back in: Indirect ties, network bridges, and job searches in China. *American Sociological Review*, 366-385.
6. Borgatti, S. P., & Everett, M. G. (2000). Models of core/periphery structures. *Social Networks*, 21(4), 375-395.
7. Granovetter, M. S. (1973). The strength of weak ties. *American Journal of Sociology*, 78(6), 1360-1380.
8. Kossinets, Gueorgi, and Duncan J. Watts. 2006. “Empirical Analysis of an Evolving Social Network.” *Science* 311(5757):88–90. doi: 10.1126/science.1116869.
9. Moody, James. 2004. “The Structure of a Social Science Collaboration Network: Disciplinary Cohesion from 1963 to 1999.” *American Sociological Review* 69(2):213–38. doi: 10.1177/000312240406900204.
10. Newman, M. E. J., S. H. Strogatz, and D. J. Watts. 2001. “Random Graphs with Arbitrary Degree Distributions and Their Applications.” *Physical Review E* 64(2):026118. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevE.64.026118.
11. Park, P. S., Blumenstock, J. E., & Macy, M. W. (2018). The strength of long-range ties in population-scale social networks. *Science*, 362(6421), 1410–1413.
12. Watts, Duncan J. 2004. “The ‘New’ Science of Networks.” *Annual Review of Sociology* 30(1):243–70. doi: 10.1146/annurev.soc.30.020404.104342.
13. Watts, Duncan J., and Peter Sheridan Dodds. 2007. “Influentials, Networks, and Public Opinion Formation.” *Journal of Consumer Research* 34(4):441–58. doi: 10.1086/518527.

Small world

1. Bernard, Hr, and Pd Killworth. 1979. “Review of Small World Literature.” *Sociological Symposium* (28):87–100.
2. Davis, Gerald F., Mina Yoo, and Wayne E. Baker. 2003. “The Small World of the American Corporate Elite, 1982-2001.” *Strategic Organization* 1(3):301–26. doi: 10.1177/14761270030013002.
3. Flache, A., & Macy, M. W. (2011). Small worlds and cultural polarization. *The Journal of Mathematical Sociology*, 35(1-3), 146-176.
4. Goyal, S., Van Der Leij, M. J., & Moraga-González, J. L. (2006). Economics: An emerging small world. *Journal of political economy*, 114(2), 403-412.
5. Gulati, Ranjay, Maxim Sytch, and Adam Tatarynowicz. 2012. “The Rise and Fall of Small Worlds: Exploring the Dynamics of Social Structure.” *Organization Science* 23(2):449–71. doi: 10.1287/orsc.1100.0592.
6. Karsai, M., Kivelä, M., Pan, R. K., Kaski, K., Kertész, J., Barabási, A. L., & Saramäki, J. (2011). Small but slow world: How network topology and burstiness slow down spreading. *Physical Review E*, 83(2), 025102.
7. Killworth, Peter D. and H. Russell Bernard. 1978/79. “The reverse small-world experiment.” *Social Networks*, 1:159-192.

8. Korte, Charles and Stanley Milgram. 1970. "Acquaintance networks between racial groups: Application of the small world method." *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 15:101-108.
9. Lundberg, Craig C. 1975. "Patterns of Acquaintanceship in Society and Complex Organization: A Comparative Study of the Small World Problem." *The Pacific Sociological Review* 18(2):206–22. doi: 10.2307/1388633.
10. Milgram, Stanley. 1967. "The Small World Problem." *Psychology Today* 2(1):60–67.
11. Newman, M. E. J., and D. J. Watts. n.d. "Scaling and Percolation in the Small-World Network Model." *WORLD* . . . 11.
12. Prizmi, Jure, and Rudi Podgornik. n.d. "Models of the Small World." 17.
13. Schnettler, Sebastian. 2009. "A Structured Overview of 50 Years of Small-World Research." *Social Networks* 31(3):165–78. doi: 10.1016/j.socnet.2008.12.004.
14. Travers, J., & Milgram, S. (1977). An experimental study of the small world problem. In *Social Networks* (pp. 179-197). Academic Press.
15. Uzzi, B., & Spiro, J. (2005). Collaboration and Creativity: The Small World Problem. *American Journal of Sociology*, 111(2), 447–504.
16. Uzzi, B., Amaral, L. A. N., & Reed-Tsochas, F. (2007). Small-world networks and management science research: a review. *European Management Review*, 4, 77–91.
17. Watts, D. J. (1999). Networks, dynamics, and the small-world phenomenon. *American Journal of Sociology*, 105(2), 493-527.
18. Watts, D. J., & Strogatz, S. H. (1998). Collective dynamics of 'small-world' networks. *Nature*, 393(6684), 440-442.

Part 6. Political Polarization

1. Bail, C. A., Argyle, L. P., Brown, T. W., Bumpus, J. P., Chen, H., Hunzaker, M. B. F., Lee, J., Mann, M., Merhout, F., & Volfovsky, A. (2018). Exposure to opposing views on social media can increase political polarization. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 115(37), 9216–9221.
2. Baldassarri, D., & Bearman, P. (2007). Dynamics of political polarization. *American Sociological Review*, 72(5), 784-811.
3. Barberá, P., Jost, J. T., Nagler, J., Tucker, J. A., & Bonneau, R. (2015). Tweeting From Left to Right: Is Online Political Communication More Than an Echo Chamber? *Psychological Science*, 26(10), 1531–1542.
4. Boutyline, A., & Vaisey, S. (2017). Belief network analysis: A relational approach to understanding the structure of attitudes. *American Journal of Sociology*, 122(5), 1371-1447.
5. Boutyline, A., & Willer, R. (2017). The social structure of political echo chambers: Variation in ideological homophily in online networks. *Political Psychology*, 38(3), 551-569.
6. DellaPosta, D., Shi, Y., & Macy, M. (2015). Why do liberals drink lattes? *American Journal of Sociology*, 120(5), 1473-1511.
7. DiMaggio, P., Evans, J., & Bryson, B. (1996). Have Americans' Social Attitudes Become More Polarized? *American Journal of Sociology*, 102(3), 690–755.
8. Finkel, E. J., Bail, C. A., Cikara, M., Ditto, P. H., Iyengar, S., Klar, S., Mason, L.,

McGrath,

9. Flaxman, S., Goel, S., & Rao, J. M. (2016). Filter bubbles, echo chambers, and online news consumption. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 80(S1), 298-320.
10. Garimella, K., De Francisci Morales, G., Gionis, A., & Mathioudakis, M. (2018, April). Political discourse on social media: Echo chambers, gatekeepers, and the price of bipartisanship. In *Proceedings of the 2018 World Wide Web Conference* (pp. 913-922).
11. Gentzkow, M., Shapiro, J., & Taddy, M. (2016). Measuring polarization in high-dimensional data: Method and application to congressional speech (No. id: 11114).
12. M. C., Nyhan, B., Rand, D. G., Skitka, L. J., Tucker, J. A., Van Bavel, J. J., Wang, C. S., & Druckman, J. N. (2020). Political sectarianism in America. *Science*, 370(6516), 533–536.
13. Matakos, A., Terzi, E., & Tsaparas, P. (2017). Measuring and moderating opinion polarization in social networks. *Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery*, 31(5), 1480-1505.

Part 7. Social Contagions and Peer Influence

Social Contagions and Peer Influence

1. Aral, S., Muchnik, L., & Sundararajan, A. (2009). Distinguishing influence-based contagion from homophily-driven diffusion in dynamic networks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 106(51), 21544-21549.
2. Bakshy, E., Hofman, J. M., Mason, W. A., & Watts, D. J. (2011, February). Everyone's an influencer: quantifying influence on twitter. In *Proceedings of the fourth ACM international conference on Web search and data mining* (pp. 65-74).
3. Burt, R. S. (1987). Social contagion and innovation: Cohesion versus structural equivalence. *American Journal of Sociology*, 92(6), 1287-1335.
4. Centola, D. (2010). The spread of behavior in an online social network experiment. *Science*, 329(5996), 1194-1197.
5. Centola, D., & Macy, M. (2007). Complex contagions and the weakness of long ties. *American Journal of Sociology*, 113(3), 702-734.
6. Christakis, N. A., & Fowler, J. H. (2007). The spread of obesity in a large social network over 32 years. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 357(4), 370-379.
7. Clayton Childress, and Noah Friedkin. 2012. "Cultural Reception and Production: The Social Construction of Meaning in Book Clubs." *American Sociological Review* 77:45-68.
8. Erickson, Bonnie H. 2006. "Persuasion and Perception: New Models of Network Effects on Gendered Issues." Pp. 293-322 in *Gender and Social Capital*, edited by B. O'Neill, and E. Gidengil. New York: Routledge.
9. Goldberg, A., & Stein, S. K. (2018). Beyond social contagion: Associative diffusion and the emergence of cultural variation. *American Sociological Review*, 83(5), 897-932.
10. Goodreau, S. M., Kitts, J. A., & Morris, M. (2009). Birds of a feather, or friend of a friend? Using exponential random graph models to investigate adolescent social networks. *Demography*, 46(1), 103-125.
11. Haynie, D. L. (2001). Delinquent Peers Revisited: Does Network Structure Matter? *American Journal of Sociology*, 106(4), 1013–1057.

12. Kandel, Denise B. 1978. "Homophily, selection, and socialization in adolescent friendships." *American journal of Sociology* 2: 427-436.
13. Karsai, M., Iniguez, G., Kaski, K., & Kertész, J. (2014). Complex contagion process in spreading of online innovation. *Journal of The Royal Society Interface*, 11(101), 20140694.
14. Lai, G., & Wong, O. (2002). The tie effect on information dissemination: the spread of a commercial rumor in Hong Kong. *Social Networks*, 24(1), 49-75.
15. Lewis, Kevin, and Jason Kaufman. 2018. "The Conversion of Cultural Tastes into Social Network Ties." *American Journal of Sociology* 123:1684-1742.
16. Liu, K.-Y., King, M., & Bearman, P. S. (2010). Social Influence and the Autism Epidemic. *American Journal of Sociology*, 115(5), 1387-1434.
17. McPherson, Miller, Lynn Smith-Lovin, and James M. Cook. 2001. "Birds of a Feather: Homophily in Social Networks." *Annual Review of Sociology* 27:415-44
18. Shalizi, C. R., & Thomas, A. C. (2011). Homophily and Contagion Are Generically Confounded in Observational Social Network Studies. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 40(2), 211-239.
19. Tang, J., Sun, J., Wang, C., & Yang, Z. (2009, June). Social influence analysis in large-scale networks. In *Proceedings of the 15th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining* (pp. 807-816).
20. Ugander, J., Backstrom, L., Marlow, C., & Kleinberg, J. (2012). Structural diversity in social contagion. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 109(16), 5962-5966.

Network Dynamics

21. Buskens, V & van de Rijt, Arnout "Dynamics of Networks if Everyone Strives for Structural Holes" *American Journal of Sociology*
22. Valente, T. W. (2005). Network models and methods for studying the diffusion of innovations. *Models and methods in social network analysis*, 28, 98-116.
23. Moody, James, Daniel A. McFarland and Skye Bender-DeMoll. 2005. "Dynamic Network Visualization: Methods for Meaning with Longitudinal Network Movies." *American Journal of Sociology* 110:1206-1241
24. McFarland, D. A., Moody, J., Diehl, D., Smith, J. A., & Thomas, R. J. (2014). Network ecology and adolescent social structure. *American sociological review*, 79(6), 1088-1121.
25. Steglich, Christian, Tom A. B. Snijders, and Michael Pearson. 2010. "Dynamic Networks and Behavior: Separating Selection from Influence." *Sociological Methodology* 40:329-393.
26. Granovetter, M. (1978). Threshold models of collective behavior. *American Journal of Sociology*, 83(6), 1420-1443.

Part 8. Networked Experiment

1. Aral, S. (2016). Networked Experiments. In Y. Bramoullé, A. Galeotti, & B. Rogers (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook on the Economics of Networks* (pp. 376-411). Oxford University Press.
2. Aral, S., & Walker, D. (2012). Identifying influential and susceptible members of social networks. *Science*, 337(6092), 337-341.
3. Baldassarri, D., & Abascal, M. (2017). Field experiments across the social sciences.

Annual Review of Sociology, 43, 41-73.

4. Bond, R. M., Fariss, C. J., Jones, J. J., Kramer, A. D., Marlow, C., Settle, J. E., & Fowler, J. H. (2012). A 61-million-person experiment in social influence and political mobilization. *Nature*, 489(7415), 295-298.
5. Brashears, M. E., & Gladstone, E. (2016). Error correction mechanisms in social networks can reduce accuracy and encourage innovation. *Social Networks*, 44, 22-35.
6. Centola, D. (2011). An experimental study of homophily in the adoption of health behavior. *Science*, 334(6060), 1269-1272.
7. Centola, D., Becker, J., Brackbill, D., & Baronchelli, A. (2018). Experimental evidence for tipping points in social convention. *Science*, 360(6393), 1116-1119.
8. Centola, Damon. 2010. "The Spread of Behavior in an Online Social Network Experiment" *Science* 2010: 1194-1197.
9. Jackson, M., & Cox, D. R. (2013). The principles of experimental design and their application in sociology. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 39, 27-49.
10. Lorenz, J., Rauhut, H., Schweitzer, F., & Helbing, D. (2011). How social influence can undermine the wisdom of crowd effect. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108(22), 9020-9025.
11. Muchnik, L., Aral, S., & Taylor, S. J. (2013). Social influence bias: A randomized experiment. *Science*, 341(6146), 647-651.
12. Salganik, M. J., Dodds, P. S., & Watts, D. J. (2006). Experimental study of inequality and unpredictability in an artificial cultural market. *Science*, 311(5762), 854-856.

Part 9. Statistical Models for Network Formation

1. Block, P., Stadtfeld, C., & Snijders, T. A. (2019). Forms of dependence: Comparing SAOMs and ERGMs from basic principles. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 48(1), 202-239.
2. Kuskova, V., & Wasserman, S. (2020). An Introduction to Statistical Models for Networks. In Light, R., & Moody, J. (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Social Networks* (pp. 219-233). Oxford University Press.
3. Leifeld, P., & Cranmer, S. J. (2019). A theoretical and empirical comparison of the temporal exponential random graph model and the stochastic actor-oriented model. *Network Science*, 7(1), 20-51.
4. Robins, G., Pattison, P., Kalish, Y., & Lusher, D. (2007). An introduction to exponential random graph (p^*) models for social networks. *Social networks*, 29(2), 173-191.
5. Robins, G., Snijders, T., Wang, P., Handcock, M., & Pattison, P. (2007). Recent developments in exponential random graph (p^*) models for social networks. *Social Networks*, 29(2), 192- 215.
6. Schaefer, D. R., & Marcum, C. S. (2017). Modeling network dynamics. In Light, R., & Moody, J. (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Social Networks* (pp. 254-287). Oxford University Press.
7. Schaefer, D. R., Kornienko, O., & Fox, A. M. (2011). Misery does not love company: Network selection mechanisms and depression homophily. *American Sociological Review*, 76(5), 764-785.
8. Snijders, T. A. (2011). Statistical models for social networks. *Annual Review of Sociology*,

37, 131-153.

9. Snijders, T. A., Van de Bunt, G. G., & Steglich, C. E. (2010). Introduction to stochastic actor- based models for network dynamics. *Social Networks*, 32(1), 44-60.
10. Wang, P., Robins, G., Pattison, P., & Lazega, E. (2013). Exponential random graph models for multilevel networks. *Social Networks*, 35(1), 96-115.
11. Wasserman, S., Robins, G., & Steinley, D. (2006, June). Statistical models for networks: A brief review of some recent research. In *ICML Workshop on Statistical Network Analysis* (pp. 45-56). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
12. White, Harrison C., Scott Boorman, and Ronald Breiger. 1976. "Social Structure from Social Networks: Blockmodels of Roles and Positions." *American Journal of Sociology* 81:730-750.
13. Wimmer, Andreas and Kevin Lewis. 2010. "Beyond and below Racial Homophily: ERG Models of a Friendship Network Documented on Facebook." *American Journal of Sociology*, 116(2), 583–642

Supplemental

14. Lusher, Dean, Johan Koskinen, and Garry Robins (eds). 2013. *Exponential Random Graph Models for Social Networks: Theory, Methods, and Applications*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
15. Perry, Brea L., Bernice A. Pescosolido, and Stephen P. Borgatti. 2018. *Egocentric Network Analysis: Foundations, Methods, and Models*. Cambridge University Press.
16. Wasserman, Stanley, and Katherine Faust. 1994. *Social Network Analysis: Methods and Applications*. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Part 10. Agent-Based Modeling

1. Axelrod, R. M. (1997). The Dissemination of Culture: A Model with Local Convergence and Global Polarization. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, 41(2), 203–226.
2. Bianchi, F., & Squazzoni, F. (2015). Agent-based models in sociology. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Computational Statistics*, 7(4), 284–306.
3. Bravo, G., Squazzoni, F., & Boero, R. (2012). Trust and partner selection in social networks: An experimentally grounded model. *Social Networks*, 34(4), 481-492.
- Carley, K. M. (1991). A Theory of Group Stability. *American Sociological Review*, 56(3), 331–354.
4. Chwe, M. S. Y. (1999). Structure and strategy in collective action. *American Journal of Sociology*, 105(1), 128-156.
5. Epstein, J. M. (1999). Agent-based computational models and generative social science. *Complexity*, 4(5), 41-60.
6. Fowler, J. H., & Smirnov, O. (2005). Dynamic parties and social turnout: An agent-based model. *American Journal of Sociology*, 110(4), 1070–1094.
7. Friedkin, N. E., Proskurnikov, A. V., Tempo, R., & Parsegov, S. E. (2016). Network science on belief system dynamics under logic constraints. *Science*, 354(6310), 321-326.
8. Macy, M. W., & Willer, R. (2002). From factors to actors: Computational sociology and agent- based modeling. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 28(1), 143-166.
9. Nowak, M. A., & Sigmund, K. (1992). Tit for tat in heterogeneous populations. *Nature*, 355(6357), 250-253.

10. Schelling, T. C. (1971). Dynamic models of segregation. *Journal of Mathematical Sociology*, 1(2), 143-186.
11. Strang, D., & Macy, M. W. (2001). In search of excellence: Fads, success stories, and adaptive emulation. *American Journal of Sociology*, 107(1), 147-182.